UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

VICTOR H SEGURA
SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION
200 GILLINGHAM LANE
SUGAR LAND TX 77478

MAILED

JUN 22 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Jacques Orban

Application Number: 10/560391

Filing Date: 04/11/2006

Attorney Docket Number: 21.1179

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR $1.137(b)^{1}$ filed on March 19, 2010, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on May 7, 2009, for failure to timely respond to the Office action requiring restriction and/or election mailed on April 6, 2009, which set a one (1) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on November 17, 2009.

Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Director may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

Receipt of the response filed on March 19, 2010 is acknowledged.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that petitioner herein was ever empowered to prosecute the instant application. If petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney documentation must be mailed. A courtesy copy of this decision will be mailed to petitioner. However, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

This application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 3672 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.

Nood

Douglas I. Wood Senior Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

cc:

Juanita Salazar 1939 W. Gray St., Ste 200 Houston TX 77019-4815

^{2 &}lt;u>See</u> 37 CFR 10.18(b) <u>and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure</u>; Final Rule Notice, 62 <u>Fed.</u> <u>Reg.</u> 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1208 <u>Off. Gaz. Pat. Office</u> 63, 103 (October 21, 1997).